

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,816	01/30/2004	Nobumasa Suzuki	03599.000093.	3133
5514 ELTZDATDICK	7590 02/01/2007	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/766,816	SUZUKI, NOBUMASA
Examiner	Art Unit
Jeffrie R. Lund	1763

	Jeffrie R. Lund	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili	ng date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		·	ILLE WITTIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(INOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS		•	
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further c</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in both</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	etter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ure issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	-		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by the arguments do not overcome the rejections of reconsidered by the arguments do not overcome the rejections of reconsidered by the arguments do not overcome the rejections of reconsidered by the arguments do not overcome the rejections of reconsidered by the arguments do not overcome the rejections of reconsidered by the arguments do not overcome the rejections of reconsidered by the arguments do not overcome the rejections of reconsidered by the arguments do not overcome the rejections of reconsidered by the arguments do not overcome the rejections of reconsidered by the arguments do not overcome the rejections of reconsidered by the arguments do not overcome the rejections of reconsidered by the arguments do not overcome the rejections of reconsidered by the rejection of the rejection by the rejection of the rejection by the reject		n condition for allowar	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		·
13. Other:		1mh/	
•		Jeffrie R. Lund	\
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims require further search and consideration.